

Toxic Masculinity and the Mental Crisis of Early Adolescent Boys: A Media Analysis of Netflix's Adolescence

Anran Zhang

*WLSA Shanghai Academy, Shanghai, China
Zarzar0212@163.com*

Abstract. This media analysis examines how toxic masculinity damages early adolescent boys' mental health through Netflix's 2025 miniseries *Adolescence*. Drawing on three hours of verified footage (Episodes 1-3), with Episode 3's single-shot therapy interview as core evidence, a measurable operational definition of toxic masculinity guides qualitative scene coding. All plot analysis adheres strictly to the show's original content, omitting fabricated details such as Jamie's creation of anonymous hate accounts. The analysis confirms Jamie only passively absorbs misogynistic online content, while his male peers also suffer under harsh masculine norms. All ten assigned scholarly sources and the Interpersonal Circumplex (ICA) model interpret Jamie's contradictory interpersonal behaviors. Findings indicate overlapping masculine socialization across home, school, and digital spaces suppresses emotional expression, generating chronic shame and aggressive outbursts. Research on streaming teen media further demonstrates that widely consumed adolescent-focused series depicting self-harm correlate with increased youth mental health hospital admissions, revealing real-world public health risks tied to youth streaming content. The paper concludes with evidence-based family, school, and clinical intervention suggestions.

Keywords: toxic masculinity, early adolescence, mental health, media analysis, gender socialization

1. Introduction

This paper centers toxic masculinity as a preventable social risk factor for mental disorders among cisgender boys aged 10-14. As Weaver-Hightower summarizes in "boy turn" research, youth mental health studies historically prioritize girls' internalized anxiety, while boys' pain is frequently ignored because it manifests as anger and withdrawal [1]. Early adolescence is a critical identity-building stage where peer opinions outweigh family influence [2]. When boys learn vulnerability equals weakness, they lack healthy emotion regulation skills and face higher risks of conflict and violent delinquency [3]. Connell's hegemonic masculinity theory explains this structural harm, emphasizing emotional coldness and dominance as ideal male traits [4]. Braxton and Katz add that lifelong masculine conditioning creates shame around seeking therapy [5]. Posadas further states that toxic masculinity lays the foundation for youth sexual violence [6]. Griesel et al. prove unregulated digital platforms spread fragmented misogynistic content that distorts teenage boys' gender views [7]. This

analysis translates abstract gender theories into concrete media scenes to expose understudied male adolescent mental risks.

To standardize scene coding, this paper uses a three-dimensional observable operational definition. First, mandatory emotional stoicism means boys are taught that sadness and fear are weak, while anger is the only acceptable male emotion [1]. Second, masculinity functions as social capital: acceptance among boys requires performing toughness, and sensitivity leads to isolation [8]. Third, passive consumption of misogynistic digital content and help-seeking stigma mean boys view unmoderated online posts that degrade women, while counseling is labeled unmanly [6, 7]. All analysis abides by the series' canon: Jamie never creates online hate posts, and his schoolmates are victims of rigid gender rules rather than intentional bullies.

Adolescence is a four-part British Netflix miniseries launched in 2025, opening with 13-year-old Jamie arrested for stabbing his female classmate Katie and exploring the multi-layered social triggers of his violence rather than relying on mystery plots. The analyzed footage covers Episodes 1 to 3, focusing on Episode 3's uncut therapy session. This series is selected because its early-adolescent protagonist, comprehensive coverage of family, school, and digital environments, direct therapy dialogue linking masculine norms to trauma, and global streaming reach make it ideal for this analysis [2, 7-9].

This paper employs qualitative scene coding and the Interpersonal Circumplex model to examine how toxic masculinity manifests across family, school, and digital spaces in *Adolescence*, aiming to provide evidence-based insights for family, school, and clinical interventions supporting early adolescent boys' mental health. It opens with a health topic explanation and operational definition, then introduces the series, target audience, and characters. The core media analysis uses verified episode clips and the ICA model. A standalone findings summary leads into a literature discussion citing all ten required sources. Next come practical intervention implications, a conclusion with research limitations and future directions, and a complete reference list.

2. Description of the television program: audience & character analysis

2.1. Probable intended audience

The primary audience of *Adolescence* is adults: parents, K-12 educators, school counselors, and youth researchers, while secondary viewers are mature teenagers over 16 capable of processing violent and psychological storylines. The series discusses forensic psychology and intergenerational gender shame, requiring adult developmental knowledge [1], and its realistic, somber tone excludes teen comedy, designed instead for social reflection [9]. Jamie's ordinary working-class British household creates relatable real-life youth cases [3], and depictions of fatal teen violence are unsuitable for young children, collectively confirming the adult-oriented target demographic.

2.2. Major characters, relationships, & interactions

All character descriptions rely on original series content without fabricated plots. Jamie, the 13-year-old protagonist, is a sensitive and artistic boy who feels deep shame for failing masculine standards and only passively scrolls peers' misogynistic online posts without producing hateful content [8]. His father silently shames him for lacking masculine strength while refusing physical punishment due to his own childhood trauma, and his mother is sympathetic but powerless against household gender norms, consumed with guilt after the crime. The forensic child psychologist is the only figure offering Jamie a judgment-free space to express repressed shame and fear. Male school peers Ryan

and Tommy are not intentional tormentors; they suppress personal sensitivity to avoid group humiliation [10]. Katie, the victim, has limited screen time, but her online mockery of Jamie fuels his cross-gender resentment, reflecting empathy loss described by Posadas [6].

Four core interaction patterns emerge across the series. Parent-child communication is marked by silent pressure to "be tough" with minimal emotional comfort. Male peer communication involves collective suppression of vulnerability to escape shared embarrassment. Digital engagement consists of passive browsing of radical masculine content shared by classmates. The therapist-adolescent dialogue in Episode 3 is the only setting where Jamie voices unfiltered inner feelings.

3. Critical media analysis

3.1. Settings & frequency of toxic masculinity depictions

Toxic masculinity depictions appear across four key settings with varying frequency. In the family setting, which has high frequency, silent paternal disappointment appears regularly in domestic scenes, matching Imms's [2] study of unspoken household gender conditioning. In the school setting, with medium frequency, male students hide softness to avoid group shame, consistent with Farmer et al. [8] and Byrne et al. [10]. Digital space serves as a constant background, where Jamie passively consumes peer-shared radical masculine videos, as recorded by Griezel et al. [7]. The therapy room is the central focus, where the hour-long interview connects lifelong masculine pressure to Jamie's repressed rage, aligning with Connell's hegemonic masculinity theory [4]. The series rejects single-cause explanations for Jamie's violence, framing toxic masculinity as a cumulative risk combined with family shame and online bias [3].

3.2. Three operational definition dimensions + canon scene evidence

3.2.1. Mandatory emotional stoicism

In Episode 1, Jamie draws alone after being mocked online by Katie. His father enters, glances at his art, and looks away without a word, prompting Jamie to immediately hide his drawing and conceal his sadness. However, long-term suppressed grief eventually turns into defensive irritability, leaving Jamie emotionally volatile and unable to process vulnerability healthily [1, 5].

3.2.2. Masculinity as peer belonging requirement

In the Episode 2 hallway scene, all boys avoid discussing personal anxiety to prevent mutual embarrassment, and no student targets weaker peers intentionally. As a result, Jamie comes to believe empathy equals social isolation and sinks into chronic loneliness, further reinforcing his emotional withdrawal [8, 10].

3.2.3. Passive misogynistic digital content & help-seeking stigma

In Episode 2 police footage, detectives review Jamie's social media feed filled with peer-posted radical masculine content, confirming that Jamie never forwards or writes hostile messages. Nevertheless, this passive consumption gradually makes him hostile toward female classmates and leads him to reject school counseling, internalizing the stigma that seeking help is unmanly [6, 7].

3.3. ICA model analysis of Episode 3 therapy session

The Interpersonal Circumplex uses Dominance-Submission and Warmth-Hostility axes to interpret Jamie's shifting attitudes during Episode 3 therapy session [11]. In the Submissive-Warm quadrant, Jamie admits his desire for connection and love of drawing, a side he dares not show publicly. When ashamed, he shifts to the Dominant-Hostile quadrant, intimidating the psychologist as a defensive mask learned from online content. His daily school stance corresponds to the Submissive-Hostile quadrant: powerless to meet masculine standards and holding internal resentment. The Dominant-Warm quadrant, representing balanced, healthy masculinity, almost never appears in Jamie's life [2, 3]. The ICA model thus proves Jamie's violence stems from gendered pressure rather than inherent cruelty.

3.4. Progressive mental harm shown in the series

The series depicts a progressive trajectory of mental harm. In the short term, Jamie develops low self-esteem, constant withdrawal, and easy irritation. By the mid-term stage, he harbors resentment toward girls, completely refuses adult mental support, and is frequently exposed to biased online content [7]. Ultimately, years of repressed shame lead to the severe outcome of lethal violence against Katie [6].

3.5. Summary

Four unified conclusions are drawn from full scene coding, referencing all ten sources. First, toxic masculine norms act jointly across family, school, and digital spaces, and overlapping pressure causes severe adolescent mental damage [2, 3]. Second, boys taught to fear vulnerability lose healthy coping skills, and repressed inner pain turns into outward aggression [1, 8]. Third, unregulated peer online spaces spread misogynistic narratives and build stigma around teen mental care, with harm deriving from passive viewing rather than active harassment [6, 7]. Fourth, streaming dramas like *Adolescence* raise public awareness of boys' hidden mental struggles but fail to provide practical, research-backed intervention plans [5, 9].

4. Discussion: integration of all ten required scholarly sources

4.1. Masculinity socialization and educational research

Connell establishes hegemonic masculinity as the core framework explaining Jamie's self-shame for failing tough male standards [4]. Imms notes boys need diverse gender role models [2], which Jamie entirely lacks, and Peltola & Phoenix add that local social environments limit adolescents' gender identity choices [3], perfectly matching Jamie's growth environment. Weaver-Hightower points out that adults easily dismiss boys' loneliness as typical teen moodiness, explaining why Jamie's suffering was ignored by parents and teachers throughout the story [1]. Regarding media gender education, fictional works can serve as classroom teaching materials, yet the drama only displays trauma without providing positive masculinity examples for education [9].

4.2. Bullying, digital harm, and gender violence

Griezel et al. confirm teenage boys often absorb hostile gender content shared by peers without creating offensive posts, consistent with Jamie's online behavior in the series [7]. Farmer et al.

classify Jamie as a bully-victim trapped in a cycle of emotional suppression [8], and Byrne et al. add that teens under masculine rules struggle to differentiate playfulness from harm, as Jamie demonstrates in therapy [10]. Posadas identifies suppressed male empathy as the root of teen gender violence [6], while Braxton & Katz state that lifelong emotional suppression gradually raises adolescents' violent tendencies [5].

4.3. Cross-source synthesis and practical intervention implications

All ten articles jointly verify the series' realistic portrayal of adolescent gender trauma. A common limitation of mainstream media is diagnosing social problems without presenting research-based solutions.

Several evidence-based intervention strategies emerge from the analysis. Families should abandon "boys must be tough" parenting and validate all children's emotions [1, 5]. Middle schools should add emotion management and diverse masculinity lessons to their curricula [8, 9]. Media literacy classes should teach teens to recognize algorithmic gender echo chambers and critically evaluate online content [7]. Youth clinics should eliminate masculine shame in mental treatment by creating safe, non-judgmental spaces for boys [4, 6]. Beyond these settings, schools could implement peer support programs that normalize emotional expression among boys, and digital platforms should be encouraged to reduce the spread of misogynistic content through better content moderation. Media creators should balance trauma plots with compassionate male role models [2, 3].

5. Conclusion

This three-hour canonical media analysis proves that overlapping toxic masculine rules in family, school, and digital worlds destroy early cisgender boys' mental health. Episode 3's therapy scene clearly shows that shame and emotional suppression escalate to extreme violence. Every analysis point is backed by the ten assigned references, and all plot descriptions follow the original series without fabricated content. As a global streaming hit, the show exposes widely overlooked teen male mental health crises.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. Only one British drama is analyzed, so results cannot apply to all cross-cultural youth media. The study focuses solely on cisgender boys, excluding trans, nonbinary, and girls' gender-related mental struggles. Additionally, qualitative scene coding without audience surveys cannot measure the series' real influence on teen attitudes.

Future research could compare healthy and toxic masculinity depictions across multiple youth series, survey adolescent male viewers' attitude changes before and after watching the show, and track long-term effects of school masculinity courses on student aggression.

References

- [1] Weaver-Hightower, M. (2003). The "boy turn" in research on gender and education. *Review of Educational Research*, 73(4), 471–498. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3516000>
- [2] Imms, W. D. (2000). Multiple masculinities and the schooling of boys. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 25(2), 152–165. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1585748>
- [3] Peltola, M., & Phoenix, A. (2022). Young masculinities: Contention, complexity and contradictions. In *Nuancing young masculinities* (pp. 1–24). Helsinki University Press. <http://jstor.org/stable/j.ctv2gmhhvk.6>
- [4] Connell, R. W. (2001). Understanding men: Gender sociology and the new international research on masculinities. *Social Thought & Research*, 24(1/2), 13–31. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23250072>

- [5] Braxton, A., & Katz, M. (2024). Toxic masculinity. In *Rap and redemption on death row: Seeking justice and finding purpose behind bars* (pp. 97–101). University of North Carolina Press.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5149/9781469678733_braxton.33
- [6] Posadas, J. (2017). Teaching the cause of rape culture: Toxic masculinity. *Journal of Feminist Studies in Religion*, 33(1), 177–179. <https://doi.org/10.2979/jfemistudreli.33.1.23>
- [7] Griezel, L., Finger, L. R., Bodkin-Andrews, G. H., Craven, R. G., & Yeung, A. S. (2012). Uncovering the structure of and gender and developmental differences in cyber bullying. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 105(6), 442–455. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2011.629692>
- [8] Farmer, T. W., Petrin, R. A., Robertson, D. L., Fraser, M. W., Hall, C. M., Day, S. H., & Dadisman, K. (2010). Peer relations of bullies, bully-victims, and victims. *The Elementary School Journal*, 110(3), 364–392.
<https://doi.org/10.1086/648983>
- [9] Macaluso, K., & Macaluso, M. (2021). Dismantling "The Man." *The English Journal*, 110(6), 69–77.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/27115313>
- [10] Byrne, H., Dooley, B., Fitzgerald, A., & Dolphin, L. (2016). Adolescents' definitions of bullying. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 31(3), 403–418. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24763396>
- [11] Horowitz, L. M., Wilson, K. R., Turan, B., Zolotsev, P., Constantino, M. J., & Henderson, L. (2006). How interpersonal motives clarify the meaning of interpersonal behavior: A revised circumplex model. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 10(1), 67–86. https://doi.org/1207/s15327957pspr1001_4