Anxiety and Depression in Adolescents Aged 12–18: Developmental Pathways, Comorbidity, and Integrated Interventions

Zhixuan Hu

School of Social Policy and Society, Sociology University of Birmingham, Muirhead Tower, United Kindom
zxh434@student.bham.ac.uk

Abstract. The current paper explores the evolution and the comorbidity of anxiety and depression in the age group of 12 to 18-year-old adolescents. Based on the developmental psychopathology, it will look at the role of genetic vulnerability, personality (e.g., neuroticism), and environmental factors, e.g., parenting style, academic pressures, and social media influence play in these conditions. The study identifies the age variation in the manifestation of the symptoms such as somatic complaints in anxiety and cognitive distortion in depression. There is special emphasis on the sizeable role of peer relationships which may also serve as protective or risk factors. Anxiety and depression co-occurrence has high frequencies studied using Tripartite Model which focuses on common risk mechanisms. Low cost intervision, including Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, group therapy and emerging low-cost interventions are discussed with regards to their effectiveness in the treatment of both disorders concurrently. The results lend credence to the idea of coordinated and developmentally congruent assessment and intervention methods, and encourage future long-term studies that would assess long-term treatment behaviors.

Keywords: Anxiety Depression Comorbidity, Developmental psychopathology, Peer relationships, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), Social media influence

1. Introduction

One of the most popular emotional problems faced by young people between the ages of 12 and 18 is anxiety and depression. Adolescent mental health has become a pressing public health issue. Because of the intricate relationships between these issues, which are characterized by higher comorbidity rates and shared risk factors, it is necessary to have a thorough understanding of their design, appearance, and repair. Through the camera of developmental considerations, genetic influences, family dynamics, personality traits, cognitive patterns, and social factors, this paper examines the difficult nature of youthful anxiety and depression. By examining these various characteristics, this study examines, develops and responds to different treatments. By observing the biological and genetic factors of these issues, the speech concludes with a discussion of evidence-based solutions and their effectiveness, followed by an analysis of financial and emotional elements.

This in- details analysis provides valuable information for instructors, researchers, and adolescent mental health professionals operating on these pressing problems.

2. Theoretical framework and essential parts

The manifestation of anxiety disorders among adolescents has rather specific features in their prevalence and characteristics of clinical prevalence and clinical presentation.

that should be taken into account during their clinical and community practice. The studies identify that the anxiety disorders are complicated with the most common one being social anxiety disorder reported with 69.5 percent of cases, then generalized anxiety disorder with 63.4 percent, and specific phobia with 21.1 percent of the cases [1]. These symptoms indicate significant gender differences, where the prevalence rates tend to be higher in adolescent girls, when compared to boys one prior study is of great interest are the peculiarities of anxiety expression in adolescents as opposed to adults, which in the former case is far more likely to be at behavioral and somatic levels instead of being of cognitive nature [2]. More often than not, adolescents express their anxieties in terms of physical symptoms like stomach aches and headaches or in terms of behavioral issues like defiant attitudes and school refusal [2]. This type of manifestation of the condition makes it even more difficult to diagnose and treat, because various symptoms can be misread and understood merely as behavioral or physical well-being problems. Among the confirmed high rates of social anxiety disorder, it is possible to assume that peer relationships and social interactions are key factors in the anxiety levels of adolescents, which may seem the reflection of developmental peculiarities of this population. This knowledge of divergent manifestations is important to enable effective intervention methods, proper diagnosis, and effective overall treatment plans in both the clinical practice and community mental health programs. The anxiety in adolescents is evidently different during different developmental stages, and it is under the influence of age-related factors related to biology and the environment. In early adolescence, a new level of concern with regard to social evaluation and the desire to comprehend other people’s intentions is aroused by development of medial prefrontal cortex [3]. This development in the neurological system is accompanied by an increased self-consciousness, intensified peer evaluation vigilance, and profound sensitivity to anxiety concerning social situations, so adolescents are prone to anxiety in social situations'.

The developmental psychopathology approach goes further to highlight how the timing of experience also plays an important role in the outcomes of development, and that the same experience may produce varied yields based on its time of occurrence [4].

Such temporal sensitivity is apparent especially when teenagers enter into the stage of emerging adulthood where around 22.3 percent of individuals develop anxiety disorders during such a volatile stage of high change in terms of relationships and livelihoods [5]. The study of these developmental considerations is essential to mental health professionals because the kind of intervention used in mental health work must be adjusted according to the challenges and weaknesses which are posed by every stage of development.This interplay of influences of neurological maturation, social-environmental influences, and developmental timing form a complicated matrix that determines how the symptoms of anxiety are presented and advance during adolescence, which requires age-specific assessment and treatment strategies.

Depression among adolescents comes with various symptoms that change over time of age and thus people have different difficulties in diagnosing cases in clinical practice. Studies show that depressive symptoms portray a linked step-by-step process during children. One study poinst out that the first symptoms can be fatigue and decreased work capacity which can manifest gently to the moderate case and go further as the severe cases involve emotional changes which include sadness,

feelings of guilt, and irritability [6]. This sequential evolution of symptoms would indicate that there is a systemic progression of clinical presentation of depression among adolescents. The recent clinical observationsshowed that the prevalence rates of particular symptoms in adolescents were high, such as pessimism (32.7%), poor energy (28.6%), and concentration problems (32.7%), and the mood disorder was diagnosed in almost a half (44.9%) of the group under study [7]. Moreover, the emotional instability, delusions, anhedonia, and reduced activity are typical of older adolescents and the number of complications, including insomnia and substance abuse, intensifies [8]. Development-sensitive diagnosing is also crucial due to this age-specific presentation of the symptoms given. Knowledge of these varied patterns of symptoms and their development is also essential in order to be able to diagnose more efficiently and to plan the treatment better since there are multiple confusions between normal adolescence issues and pathological depression symptoms.

3. An analysis of anxiety items

3.1. Individual factors

The recent studies have given substantial evidence to the importance of genetic factors in occurrence of anxiety disorders in the adolescent. The twin and the family studies have shown significant patterns of heritability, which have proven the complex relationship between genetic influences and expressions of anxiety. An extensive genome-wide association study identified remarkable associations between self-reported anxiety and certain single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within a sizable sample of 200,000 participants wherein rs79878474 located in chr11p15 can be described as the most significant genetic factor in the context of anxiety and depression [9]. The genetic effect is further supported by the fact that via the twin studies, the heritability of depression was 31-42 percent in children and adolescents, whereas the Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD) presented even a more significant level of heritability (59 percent). The findings imply that although genetic factors are quite significant, they are not enough to anchor anxiety results as there is still a probability that the environmental factors contribute significantly to the emergence of anxiety [10]. The discovery of the genetic markers and the patterns of heritability bring significant implications to early intervention procedures and individual approaches to treatment intervention. Recognizing these biological predispositions enables more specific prevention activities and more effective, personalized treatment plan to be made by clinicians treating the adolescents who are in danger of developing the anxiety disorders. The personality characteristics correlate heavily with development of anxiety in adolescents between 12-18 years indicating a complex relationship between personality and development of anxiety. However, in the most recent studies, a strong positive connection ($r = 0.56$) has been established between neuroticism and anxiety disorders meaning that the higher the level of neuroticism, the more associated with greater susceptibility to anxiety [11].

On the other hand, traits like agreeableness and conscientiousness show significant negative relationships to the development of anxiety implying that they can be protective in nature. In addition, it has been found that there is a strong correlation between negative relationships between social anxiety and personality traits such as extraversion ($r = -.298$), agreeableness ($r = -.255$), and openness ($r = -.295$) which demonstrates the protective effect [12]. Remarkably, the study revealed that perfectionist adolescents with stable emotional traits demonstrated reduced anxiety levels relative to population standard values which indicated the possible protective qualities of specific personality patterns [13]. All these figures point to the importance of personality traits in the development of anxiety and conclusively indicate that knowledge about individual personality profiles may be important in the process of at-risk adolescent identification and intervention plans.

Such interrelation between the personality type and anxiety seems to be moderated by multiple factors, which means that anxiety prevention and treatment measures should involve more patient than general initiative.

3.2. Environmental factors

Family situation is a key factor in development of anxiety in adolescents, where family relations and parenting styles are among the major factors which shape adolescent psychological development. Studies show that the imbalance of power between individuals in the parent-children relationships would essentially influence the creation of personality and instigation of self-concept [14]. This relationship is especially tangible in the educational setting where parental anticipation and educational schooling strive to cause uneasiness in the parent-child support relationships. Too high demands of parents and a negative attitude to academic achievements contribute to the increased family tension, particularly in case especially when there is a gap between parental expectations and children's abilities [15]. In addition, overprotective parenting becomes one of the main risk factors that contribute to the development of anxiety. One study demonstrates that parenting behavior of overprotection is associated with higher anxiety symptoms in teens when interacting with complex factors of the family system, including the phenomenon of triangulation [16]. These diverse family factors interact to engage each other in a cumulative influence on anxiety formation in such a manner that the attitudes of their parents, their degree of protectionism and their academic demands, combine to shape the adolescent's psychological environment. This tangled interaction implies that the problem of anxiety among adolescents needs not only an individual approach to parenting behavior but also a detailed examination of the family as a system of interaction, thus, the focus should be on the maintenance of parental engagement that promotes but poses no threat to the psychological development. The social media and its interconnected linkage with anxiety problems and peer pressure in adolescents brought about a concerning issue in the current society. Recent studies have found that there is a two-way relationship between social media and peer pressure which influences the mental health outcomes of adolescents [17].

Such relationship especially manifests itself on the level of body image issues when the social media platforms are channels to both carry and perpetuate the norms of body shape of peers, which can contribute to anxiety and body image distortion in adolescents [18]. In addition to this, peer pressure has a rather large role in the occurrence of mobile social media addiction in adolescents as socialization requires them to use social media to fit in or that they have to follow peer standards to preserve relations and satisfy the sense of belonging [19]. This generates a troubling cycle that the more they use social media the more pressure they feel to use it more thus ultimately leading to excessive usage.

This relationship is based on much more than socializing and could lead to severe development of mental problems and maladaptation. This dynamic is very important in promoting effective interventions that can take care of the two avenues of adolescent anxiety, technological and the social. The combination of social media and peer pressure implies that the efforts to tackle the issue of anxiety in adolescents should be approached jointly instead of treating them as entirely separate issues.

4. Analysis of depression factors

4.1. Internal risk factors

The hereditary factors are also very important in the formation and the subsequent inheritance of depression especially in the family set up. The studies have also shown that there is a lot of heritability in depression, with a range of 34 percent of depressive episodes explained by the genetic variants [20]. This hereditary weakness appears in dissimilar ways in the two sexes with some investigation showing that women have higher levels of heritability than men whereas others indicated that there are insignificant variations in gender. The parent-child relationships are the most obvious referents of intergenerational transmission of depression and some emphasis is widespread in mother-daughter relationship. Depression symptoms are often correlated with one another in parent-child pairs, and maternal depression history in particular significantly raises the risk of daughters developing them [21]. It should be noted, but genetic predisposition does not always lead to the emergence of depression. Nearly 40 percent of children whose parents have deep depression never develop it, and this proves the importance of protective factors and resiliency systems [22]. This interactive pattern of genetic susceptibility and protective factors confirms the intricacy of the inheritance of depression, and it suggests that although genes predispose individuals, they do so along with other environmental and development processes, which define the eventual results. It is important to understand these genetic patterns so that specific prevention strategies can be developed especially among families who are at risk of developing depression, i.e. families with history of depression. Negative thinking and cognitive distortions are important in causing and perpetuating depressive disorder of adolescents aged 12-18 years. Recent studies have singled out repetitive negative thinking (RNT) as a major cognitive construct that leads to depressive symptoms among the individuals of this age bracket. A certain type of RNT is rumination, which can be characterized as spontaneous passive and repetitive attention to painful experience and possible causes and outcomes of this experience without attempting to problem-solve [23].

4.2. External risk factors

The thinking pattern has great potential to affect the mental health of adolescents in a significant way because it compromises problem-solving skills, lessens the desire to engage in positive behaviors and weakens the support system. In addition, one stud posits that in depressive disorders, RNT occurs as preoccupation with negative events of the past or current symptoms that have reliably linked with depression and as well as with anxiety among youth samples [24]. The correlation between such negative cognitive patterns and depression implies the existence of reinforcement cycle by which thinking negatively weakens depressive symptoms thus making it extremely challenging in some cases to enable adolescents to get out of this cycle. In order to come up with effective interventions to address the development of maladaptive thinking patterns in adolescents and help them build a better set of cognitive strategies, it is important to understand these cognitive processes first. This can be used to inform the mental health experts on the use of specific therapy strategies to help manage the symptoms as well as thought process of depression among adolescents.

Academic strain has been identified to be the significant external risk factor in the development of the symptoms of depression-related emergence amongst secondary school students. Academic pressure has proven to have significant effects on the mental health of students as portrayed in the recent studies. One study discusses that fear of bad grades, examination anxiety and academic-

related feelings of guilt are among the factors that can lead to depression, and it is considered as a significant risk factor [25]. The finding is quite interesting especially when it concerns education systems that greatly focus on high-level examinations. One prior studyhave particularly drawn attention to this phenomenon in the context of the Chinese educational system, where an excessive amount of pressure on the side of parents and educators concerning the process of entering colleges appears to be a major contributing factor [26]. In addition, there are several dimensions that influence the manifestations of depression, such as interpersonal relationships, a lack of study time, which proves the complex dependency between academic commitments and mental well-being [27]. All these findings imply that academic stress can occur in a variety of ways following both direct academic performance pressure effects and more indirect effects on social relationships and time management of students. The correlation between academic stress and depression seems to be especially high in educational systems encouraging performance in examinations, and discouraging holistic development, suggesting the necessity in educational reforms that would lead to a better balance between academic performance and well-being of the students. The relation towards peers is a critical factor in determining the mental health of people at adolescence stage especially as regards development of the depression. In fact, recent studies have established that peer social ties are a strong protective measure against depressive symptoms among adolescents. Mid-adolescent peer social support was strongly associated with the reduction of depressive symptoms in late adolescence, and the support in the form of emotional and instrumental support serve as a buffer that strengthens self-esteem [28].

5. Discussion and implications

5.1. Interrelation between anxiety and depression

The results are also supported by another study that found thatdevelopment of psychological coping skills of adolescents and reduced internalizing problems with special attention to vulnerable groups like refugees [29]. The protective qualities of peers can also be traced in the research where social support by peers was found to be a main protective factor against depression in both genders along with the parental support [30]. All of these findings underscore the multifaceted role of peer relationships, as they not only offer immediate emotional relief, but also help develop vital psychological assets in dealing with mental health issues. The effect of peer relationship on depression operates through several mechanisms, such as self-esteem, coping strategies, and social integration.

Knowledge of these mechanisms will be important in designing effective interventions to prevent and deal with adolescent depression using peer support system.

The patterns of comorbidity of anxiety and depression in adolescents of 12-18 years of age show that there is a high level of coincidence in symptoms and underlying mechanisms. Recent studies have developed a significant theoretical background in form of the Tripartite Model, which is already provides a well-established theoretical explanation for the high rate of comorbidities (50-70 percent) between these conditions [31]. According to this model, there are three separate dimensions, such as low positive affect, negative affect, and hyperarousal, whereas negative affect is the unifying concept between the two disorders [32]. The interdependence of the two conditions is especially clear in adolescents as evident by longitudinal studies that found that the pattern of links in the network of depression and anxiety symptoms grows stronger with age [33]. intensification of symptom interrelations indicates that adolescence might be a critical stage during development with regard to finding solutions to these psychological problems. Specifically, the fact that these two

disorders share some of their common constituents, especially the general affective distress, suggests that some therapies should focus on the treatment of both disorders at once instead of them being regarded as distinct ailments. It is notable that this knowledge of the patterns of comorbidity has great ramifications to clinical practice in that integrated intervention has been posited to be effective when compared to interventions that are in isolation; anxiety or depression interventions. The co-dependence of anxiety and depression among adolescents is considerably affected by the common risk factors predisposing one to both anxiety and depression at the same time. A study demonstrated the importance of peer relational difficulties, i.e., how multifaceted risks may link the two conditions, with peer relational problems identified as a key contributing factor, as a key factor connecting anxiety and depression symptoms [34].

5.2. Prevention and intervention strategies

Specifically, their results pointed out the ways in which particular borderline symptoms like worry about the future (GAD) and tiredness (depression) intertwine with each other because of their difficulty with peers in relationships. This finding is also rather important because it implies that social problems of adolescence may act as triggers for both anxiety and depression and not the other way around. The existence of these bridge properties between symptoms is essential in the context of mental health comorbidity in adolescents since it is complex in nature. The implication of this interconnection is that the peer relational problems may possibly be effective in the intercession of prevention and treatment of both conditions. Moreover, such knowledge debunks the old way of dealing with anxiety and depression as two completely different conditions implying that a more comprehensive treatment method could be more effective. This is because this school of thought focuses on the significance of incorporating common risk factors in prevention and treatment plans that focus on the mental health in adolescents.

Recent studies have reported notable outcomes regarding evidence-based interventions, such as Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and group therapy. Group psychotherapy demonstrated positive effects and according to studies, there are significant changes in the prevalence of emotional problems, symptoms of depression, and anxiety in individuals [35], whereas its long-term effectiveness, however, remains inconsistent and requires further evaluation. CBT stands out as a central response strategy, providing a holistic model, as it actively considers the complex nature of the connection between thinking and the development of emotions, having an eventual positive impact on the behavior and decreasing psychological suffering [36]. Furthermore, emerging strategies such as Shamiri intervention have demonstrated their effectiveness, proving to be both cost-effective and clinically beneficial, especially in resource-limited settings, where the rates of improvement in the symptoms of anxiety and depression were higher among patients using the intervention than those in the control groups [37]. The interventions described here are similar in a number of aspects: they can address several symptom areas at once, appreciate structured therapeutic methods, and exhibit flexibility in different cultural settings. The effectiveness of these methods shows the necessity of introducing broad, evidence-based measures that can be modified to suit a particular demographic yet retain their efficacy across diverse adolescent populations. The emerging evidence implies that a complex combination of various treatment components can, most likely, become the most effective way to prevent and treat comorbid anxiety depression in adolescents.

6. Conclusion

This in-detail analysis of youthful anxiety and depression reveals how caught physiological, psychological, and social factors are at play in these issues during the crucial growth stage of adolescence. The analysis demonstrates that these issues are significantly interconnected, have many risk factors, and often coexist, and have significant implications for both assessment and treatment methods. Key findings highlight the significant effect that family dynamics, personality traits, and biological disposition have on the growth and repair of these issues in addition to highlighting the important role that peer relationships, social stress, and academic stress enjoy. These findings have substantial implications for clinical practice, demonstrating that ongoing treatment options for both problems may be more successful than one approach. Future research focuses primarily on building more sophisticated rules of comorbidity that account for development phases and specific variants, as well as investigating the potential element of protective factors. Moreover, it is immediately necessary to conduct longitudinal studies that examine the long-term effectiveness of various treatment methods and their continuous ability to treat anxiety and depression. Knowing these complex relationships and building more efficient options are essential to adolescents' mental health outcomes.

References

[1] Radez J., Waite P., Chorpita B., Creswell C., Orchard F., Percy R., Spence S., Reardon T.: Using the 11-item version of the RCADS to identify anxiety and depressive disorders in adolescents. Research on Child and Adolescent Psychopathology 49, 1241–1257 (2020)

[2] Siegel R. S., Dickstein D.: Anxiety in adolescents: Update on its diagnosis and treatment for primary care providers. Adolescent Health, Medicine and Therapeutics 3, 1–16 (2011)

[3] Schacter H., Marusak H., Borg B., Jovanović T.: Facing ambiguity: Social threat sensitivity mediates the association between peer victimization and adolescent anxiety. Development and Psychopathology 1–9 (2022)

[4] Yoon S. H., Maguire-Jack K., Ploss A., Benavidez J. L., Chang Y.: Contextual factors of child behavioral health across developmental stages. Development and Psychopathology 1–14 (2023)

[5] Gómez-Baya D., Salinas-Pérez J., Sánchez-López Á., Paíno-Quesada S., Mendoza-Berjano R.: The role of developmental assets in gender differences in anxiety in Spanish youth. Frontiers in Psychiatry 13 (2022)

[6] Blom E. H., Bech P., Högberg G., Larsson J., Serlachius E.: Screening for depressed mood in an adolescent psychiatric context by brief self-assessment scales – testing psychometric validity of WHO-5 and BDI-6 indices by latent trait analyses. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes 10, 149 (2012)

[7] Voultsos P., Tsamadou E., Demertzi E.: Clinical characteristics of outpatient adolescents undergoing ongoing psychotherapy in a Greek tertiary hospital from June 2016 to December 2019. The Archives of Psychiatry (2023)

[8] Iniewicz G.: The specific features of adolescent depression – from developmental reaction to clinical syndrome. Polish Psychological Bulletin 39, 154–157 (2008)

[9] Thapaliya B., Ray B., Farahdel B., Suresh P., Sapkota R., Holla B., Mahadevan J., Chen J., Vaidya N., Perrone-Bizzozero N., Benegal V., Schumann G., Calhoun V., Liu J.: Cross-continental environmental and genome-wide association study on children and adolescent anxiety and depression. medRxiv (2023)

[10] Skaug E., Waaktaar T., Torgersen S.: The relationship between personality throughout adolescence and social anxiety disorder in young adulthood: A longitudinal twin study. PLOS ONE 19 (2024)

[11] Nguyen T. T.: Personality traits and anxiety disorders of Vietnamese early adolescents: The mediating role of social support and self-esteem. The Open Psychology Journal (2023)

[12] Güney C., Aktan Z., Yardimci E.: An assessment of the moderator effect of personality traits on the relationship between body image and social anxiety. Cyprus Turkish Journal of Psychiatry & Psychology (2020)

[13] Marín Andrés M., García Romero R., Puga González B., Ros Arnal I., González Pérez J. M., Gutiérrez Sánchez A. M.: Study of personality and anxiety in children and adolescents with inflammatory bowel disease. Andes Pediatrica: Revista Chilena de Pediatria 92(2), 241–249 (2021)

[14] Choong M.: The effect of parenting styles on development of adolescent's social anxiety. Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences (2023)

[15] Sun L., Li A., Chen M., Li L., Zhao Y., Zhu A., Hu P.: Mediating and moderating effects of authoritative parenting styles on adolescent behavioral problems. Frontiers in Psychology 15, Article 1336354 (2024)
[16] Van Petegem S., Albert Sznitman G., Darwiche J., Zimmermann G.: Putting parental overprotection into a family systems context: Relations of overprotective parenting with perceived coparenting and adolescent anxiety. Family Process 60(3) (2021)
[17] Xu T., Li Z.: One-way or two-way arrow?: A bidirectional relationship between adolescent's social media use and peer pressure and the gender difference. Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media (2024)
[18] Hong J., Kim D., Kim Y. K., Park J. H.: Influence of peer body shape norm and peer pressure related to body shape on social media on body image over-distortion of early adolescent girls. Human Ecology Research (2024)
[19] Xu X., Han W., Liu Q.: Peer pressure and adolescent mobile social media addiction: Moderation analysis of self-esteem and self-concept clarity. Frontiers in Public Health 11, Article 1115661 (2023)
[20] Fedko I., Hottenga J., Helmer Q., Mbarek H., Huider F., Amin N., Beulens J., Bremmer M., Elders P., Galesloot T., Kiemeney L., van Loo H. M., Picavet H., Rutters F., van der Spek A., van der Wiel A., Duijn C., de Geus E., Feskens E., Bot M.: Measurement and genetic architecture of lifetime depression in the Netherlands as assessed by LIDAS (Lifetime Depression Assessment Self-report). Psychological Medicine 51, 1345–1354 (2020)
[21] Morand-Beaulieu S., Banica I., Freeman C., Ethridge P., Sandre A., Weinberg A.: Neural response to errors among mothers with a history of recurrent depression and their adolescent daughters. Development and Psychopathology 1–15 (2024)
[22] Bessette K. L., Burkhouse K. L., Langenecker S.: An interactive developmental neuroscience perspective on adolescent resilience to familial depression. JAMA Psychiatry 75(5), 503–504 (2018)
[23] Espinosa F., Martín-Romero N., Sánchez-López Á.: Repetitive negative thinking processes account for gender differences in depression and anxiety during adolescence. International Journal of Cognitive Therapy 15, 115–133 (2022)
[24] Bell I., Marx W., Nguyen K., Grace S. A., Gleeson J., Alvarez-Jimenez M.: The effect of psychological treatment on repetitive negative thinking in youth depression and anxiety: A meta-analysis and meta-regression. Psychological Medicine 53, 6–16 (2022)
[25] Wang Y., Zhang S., Liu X., Shi H., Deng X.: Differences in central symptoms of anxiety and depression between college students with different academic performance: A network analysis. Frontiers in Psychology 14, Article 1071936 (2023)
[26] Liu W., Zhang R., Wang H., Rule A., Wang M., Abbey C., Singh M. K., Rozelle S., She X., Tong L.: Association between anxiety, depression symptoms, and academic burnout among Chinese students: The mediating role of resilience and self-efficacy. BMC Psychology 12 (2024)
[27] Damiano R., de Oliveira I. N., Ezequiel O. D. S., Lucchetti A. L., Lucchetti G.: The root of the problem: Identifying major sources of stress in Brazilian medical students and developing the Medical Student Stress Factor Scale. Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry 43, 35–42 (2020)
[28] Glickman E. A., Choi K. W., Lussier A., Smith B. J., Dunn E.: Childhood emotional neglect and adolescent depression: Assessing the protective role of peer social support in a longitudinal birth cohort. Frontiers in Psychiatry 12, Article 681176 (2021)
[29] Yetim O., Çakır R., Bülbül E., Alleil İ. S.: Peer relationships, adolescent anxiety, and life satisfaction: A moderated mediation model in Turkish and Syrian samples. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 33, 2831–2845 (2024)
[30] Lee T. H., Liu H. C., Huang Y. H., Sun F., Liu S. I.: How impulsivity is associated with adolescent depression: The role of substance use, gender and social support. Psychology Research and Behavior Management 16, 4959–4970 (2023)
[31] Sumbe A., Wilkinson A., Clendennen S., Bataineh B. S., Sterling K., Chen B., Harrell M.: Association of tobacco and marijuana use with symptoms of depression and anxiety among adolescents and young adults in Texas. Tobacco Prevention & Cessation 8 (2022)
[32] Sánchez Hernández M. O., Carrasco M., Holgado-Tello F. P.: Anxiety and depression symptoms in Spanish children and adolescents: An exploration of comorbidity from the network perspective. Child Psychiatry and Human Development 54, 736–749 (2021)
[33] Xie Y., Tang L.: The symptom network of internet gaming addiction, depression, and anxiety among children and adolescents. Scientific Reports 14, Article 11607465 (2024)
[34] Konac D., Young K., Lau J., Barker E.: Comorbidity between depression and anxiety in adolescents: Bridge symptoms and relevance of risk and protective factors. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment 43, 583–596 (2021)
[35] Bangun S.: The effectiveness of group psychotherapy on reducing anxiety and depression symptoms in adolescents. Surabaya Journal of Psychiatry (2022)

[36] Reitegger F., Peras I., Wright M., Gasteiger-Klicpera B.: Key components and content of effective evidence-based digital prevention programs for anxiety and depression in children and adolescents: A systematic umbrella review. Adolescent Research Review (2024)
[37] Kacmarek C. N., Johnson N. E., Osborn T., Wasanga C., Weisz J., Yates B.: Costs and cost-effectiveness of Shamiri, a brief, layperson-delivered intervention for Kenyan adolescents: A randomized controlled trial. BMC Health Services Research 23 (2023)