The Impact of Family of Origin on Attachment Relationships and Emotional Regulation Ability

Xin Peng[1,a,*]

[1]*Department of School of Social Sciences and Professions, London Metropolitan University, London, N7 8DB, United Kingdom*
a. xip0015@my.londonmet.ac.uk
**corresponding author*

Abstract: As it will be highlighted in this literature review, there is a connection between family of origin and the capacity of the person to form attachments and regulate emotions. It depicts how family structure, parenting styles, and emotional climate impose on psychological growth throughout the years of life. First, the focus of the study is to indicate that attachment and emotional regulation are intertwined, as established by the demonstration of how a secure attachment enhances emotion regulation. On the other hand, anxious or avoidant attachments may result in difficulty in working through issues and psychological symptoms. Therefore, the present paper aims at reviewing the literature on different family environments and their impact on children's emotional well-being, with special reference to nuclear and single-parent families. It also examines the roles of the family communication patterns and the conflict resolution styles in the development of emotional regulation skills. Finally, the implications of the study underscore the importance of the family of origin as a source of emotional well-being and interpersonal relations and provide guidance for future investigations and therapeutic approaches toward psychological well-being.

Keywords: Family of origin, early caregiving, attachment relations, emotional regulation.

1. Introduction

The family of origin significantly affects psychological development, including attachment patterns and emotion regulation (ER). As the first environment where a person develops, it shapes psychological and behavioral traits through factors like family structure, parenting styles, and emotional climate. Therefore, understanding the role of the family of origin in shaping attachment and ER is essential to improving psychological well-being and informing the development of intervention strategies.

The main purpose of this research is to determine how certain characteristics of the family of origin affect individual attachment relationships and ER. More precisely, the family structure (nuclear and single-parent families), parenting practices, family emotional climate, and their relation with attachment and ER shall be discussed. Additionally, the roles of attachment and ER in social relationships will be explored, with particular emphasis on their importance for individual psychological development.

To provide a comprehensive understanding of these issues, the paper is organized into several sections: First, an introduction to the key concepts of attachment relationships and ER; Second, a

literature review examining the specific influence of the family of origin on these factors; Third, an exploration of how attachment and ER operate in daily interpersonal interactions; And finally, a discussion of the study's findings along with potential directions for future research. This structure offers readers a foundational grasp of the topic and serves as a basis for further discussion.

2. Introduction of Attachment Relations and Emotional Regulation

2.1. Overview of Key Concepts

From the perspective of psychological development, an individual's growth is partly shaped by three key domains: the family of origin, attachment relationships, and ER. These domains are deeply interconnected, influencing development and personality formation at various stages of life .

2.2. Definition of Family of Origin

The term "Family of Origin" refers to the family context where a person was raised, and the members, whether being real relatives or not, who were influential in the early years in life. It is the parents who teach the child how to behave in social settings via interactions, child-rearing, and the emotional environment offered during socialization [1].

2.3. Attachment Theory and Types

Attachment theory developed by Bowlby and later expanded by Ainsworth deals with the affectional ties with the figure of reference [2,3]. Securely attached individuals establish trust and support they gain in relationships, which have a positive impact on mood and competence. Whereas avoidant and anxiously attached individuals, whose caregivers were less likely to have responded consistently and met their emotional needs in childhood, are still known to have problems with emotional regulation and with stress management in adulthood.

2.4. Emotional Regulation (ER)s

Emotional regulation (ER) can be described as the ability to recognize emotions and their expression and to manage these expressions in an adaptive manner. There exists a relationship between the types of attachment and the strategies formulated for ER. Securely attached people are reported to use efficient emotion regulation characterized by cognitive reappraisal and seeking social support. On the other hand, the avoidant and anxiously attached people have developed maladaptive strategies that are not healthy, like suppression, avoidance, or even others' dependence, which indicates a higher level of psychological distress and more interpersonal conflicts.

3. The Influence of Family of Origin on the Development of Attachment Relationships

The family of origin has a great effect on the development of individual attachment relationships, which can be different in various forms of family that influence children's emotional development. Studying the effect of different family types, the authors concluded that children, who live in nuclear families, have the ability to develop secure patterns of attachment because of consistent care, while children in single-parent families with a lack of emotional support and a stable atmosphere tend to establish insecure attachments.

The study by Matti et al. focused on how mental health affected academic outcomes and educational expectations of 240 students aged 13-16 years from single-parent families in Dharwad taluk[4]. Mental health was measured using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ), and the study revealed that children from the urban area had more mental health problems than the

children from the rural area. Still, family structure was found to have effects on children's emotional and behavioral development even after controlling for variables like family income and parental education. Nevertheless, there was a lack of longitudinal analysis and some amount of biases that are possible in self-reported data, which suggests a need for further research to generalize the findings beyond the sampled regions.

Similarly, Jarvis et al. explored the role of family structure, quality of parent-child relationships, and parental conflict on the behavioral outcomes of 2,844 adolescents in South Korea[5]. Analyzing the cross-sectional and longitudinal Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE), the results demonstrated that the children from non-traditional family structures were identified to be more likely to have internalizing and externalizing behavior problems. Parental conflict had significant negative effects on child well-being; on the other hand, positive parent-child relationships had protective effects. This study represents families and family dynamics in non-western contexts, though it falls short in data collection since the data is self-reported and cannot show causal relationships .

Beyond family structure, parenting styles also play a critical role in the formation of attachment relationships. The kids who experience the authoritative parenting style, which involves the establishment of rules and provision of emotional support, are likely to have secure attachment while kids that experience both authoritarian and permissive parenting styles are considered to have insecure attachment types. According to Eftekhari et al. , authoritarian parenting, characterized by high control and low responsiveness, leads most children within this parenting model to develop anxious or avoidant attachment patterns. Additionally, consequential to the absence of rules and overly permissive attitude towards the child's actions, the child may develop a feeling of insecurity, further complicating their attachment and ER capabilities [6].

Secure base provision can only be facilitated in the case of supportive family atmosphere. Tadros & Gregorash posit that the families that offer support assist in the improvement of the children's ER coping skills to stress[7]. On the other hand, lack of emotive support that some families provide may pass on maladaptive affect regulation and coping, which may have links to insecure attachment, hence indicating that secure base may be effective in ER .

Lastly, emotionally neglectful families are recognised to be related to the formation of avoidant attachment. Children for whom the value of emotion work is low normally get a low level of emotional care during their childhood, which makes them keep their feelings out of relations. According to Payne and Wiborg , children that are raised in neglectful families are likely to acquire avoidant attachment in adulthood; they have problems when it comes to emotional attachment[8]. This has clearly established the kind of role that the emotional climate of the family of origin has towards the formation of attachment.

4. The Influence of Family of Origin on Emotion Regulation Ability

4.1. Definition of Family Emotion

Another element of the faces assessment is the family emotional climate, which is critical to children's ability to develop ER resources, including the capacity to deal with emotions positively, manage anger, and use verbal expressions effectively. The family's emotional environment serves as the fundamental model for setting parameters for early facial and emotional responses. Emotional availability and respectful demonstrations in the affective domain help individuals learn and express their emotions in a positive manner. On the other hand, if the family does not promote the use of positive emotions, or if negative emotions such as anger, blame, or neglect dominate the family's emotional climate, poor emotional regulation tends to develop, characterized by tendencies toward suppression or overreaction. Moreover, these effects persist into adulthood, making it difficult to regulate one's emotional state over time.

Family conflict resolution can also influence the development of ER skills. While conflict resolution itself focuses on managing interpersonal disputes, it indirectly affects how individuals regulate their emotions, especially in high-stress situations. Families that model constructive conflict management—such as open communication and problem-solving—teach children to handle emotional peaks and troughs more effectively. In contrast, families that employ avoidance, blame, or violence as conflict resolution methods pass these maladaptive strategies onto their children, which can manifest as emotional dysregulation, such as anger or isolation during conflicts, continuing into adulthood. Thus, engagement patterns and family dynamics around conflict resolution can shape emotional regulation skills throughout one's lifetime.

4.2. Impact of Family Communication Style on Emotion Regulation

Especially, healthy family communication patterns play a relevant role in the context of ER processes. Positive working atmosphere, characterized by open communication, will prevent others from reacting negatively when they share their feelings; thus, it is effective for ER. On the other hand, negative family communication patterns and types, including rejection, avoidance, or suppression of love and affection, or manipulation of family members' emotional state, can cause perceptions of depression and helplessness, which give rise to negative affect spirals. In the study published by Eftekhari et al., the patient group from the low communication family background mentioned that he or she felt 'lost' in case of complex emotional states. It was determined by self-administered questionnaires that evaluated the participants' perception of their capability of handling emotions in challenging situations, whereby the higher score represented a greater level of emotional confusion and impaired ER. Such cross-sectional results suggest that people who experience poor family communication practices are more likely to experience emotional dysregulation .

4.3. The Relation between Early Adversity and Emotion Dysregulation in Adulthood

Specifically, ER skills are negatively related to early adversities including domestic violence, emotional neglect, and high family conflict. These adversities interfere with the typical course of ER during the sensitive developmental periods and result in dysregulation of emotions in later life. In Espeleta et al., the study participants were selected based on specified inclusion criteria for domestic violence or neglect such as using self-report measures to ask the participants whether they experienced domestic violence, neglect or other forms of abuse during childhood. These adults had also displayed negative emotional regulation strategies, which are characterized by impulsivity, depression, and anxiety in the adult years [9].

This disruption of the mechanisms that govern emotional regulation not only makes it difficult for people to modulate their emotions, but it also magnifies patterns of social dysregulation associated with adverse childhood experiences. These maladaptive patterns are rather long-lived and can be observed in an adult population and contribute to the formation of severe psychopathologies. More specifically, early adverse experiences make an individual vulnerable to develop some disorders such as major depressive disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, and other mood disorders. Thus, the affective atmosphere of the family of origin has a significant influence on the ER strategies development in the course of life, particularly in the first years of childhood .

5. Discussion

5.1. The Bidirectional Relationship between Attachment and Emotion Regulation

The two major areas of development—attachment relationships and emotion regulation (ER)—are interconnected, where one affects the other as the child grows. Secure attachment is critical in

facilitating the ER process because individuals can learn about their emotions and safely express those complex feelings. On the other hand, constructive ER may promote security by providing individuals with the ability to safely regulate their responses in relationships, which increases positive interactions with caregivers and peers.

Studies show that children with secure attachments are better equipped to identify and regulate their feelings because they have incorporated positive models of communication from trusted members like their parents . These children are capable of using adaptive ER strategies, including problem-solving as well as cognitive reappraisal, while insecure attachments, either anxious or avoidant, are linked to maladaptive ER strategies, such as suppression, avoidance, or hyperactivation of emotions. These are often referred to as maladaptive coping styles, which not only maintain negative interaction cycles but also strengthen insecure patterns of attachment.

5.2. The Role of Family Dynamics in Shaping Attachment and Emotion Regulation

The cohesiveness of the family helps in rearing children and at the same time facilitates the formation of attachment relationships and ER abilities. Warmth in combination with a clear set of rules and guidelines represents the authoritative parenting style and is related to better child attachment and the development of efficient ER skills. These parents have adaptive ER and let children practice different emotional responses within the family setting safely.

For the children, authoritarian or permissive parenting styles may lead to insecure attachment patterns and poor ER abilities. Authoritarian parents may just fail to recognize the child's emotional experience or even punish him/her for displaying emotions, therefore making children fear or get anxious about emotions. The same as with limit setting, there are no provisions for the child to understand how to regulate feelings and emotions in permissive parenting; there may be poor impulse control, too.

Last but not least, the nature of the developmental interplay regarding the two domains is defined through family communication patterns. Communicating with family members means that one should be speaking to them frequently; emotions must be voiced, and parents must be given the chance to educate children on ER. Those families who practice how to handle conflict constructively will assist children in learning how to be in line with such issues and maintain good relationships. Meanwhile, families who rarely talk about feelings or use anger and aggression in their communication can hinder the general process of attachment and ER.

5.3. Long-term Implications of the Interactions

Child attachment and ER confer lifelong psychological quality as well as interpersonal relationships in adulthood. This is to say that secure attachment and the ability to develop concepts and practice sound ER skills have positive impacts on resilience, self-esteem, and relationships. Individuals endowed with such foundations stand in a good place in dealing with stress, intimacy, and life experiences.

However, insecure attachment and maladaptive ER contribute to several psychological problems, including anxiety, depression, and more relational problems. These individuals may have difficulties in intimate relationships, as well as mood fluctuations, heightened irritability, or actively avoid others. Behavioral and emotional patterns formed during childhood are embedded deeply into adulthood and define whether the individual can maintain socially acceptable emotional health.

Evaluation of these interactions confirms the assertion to address early concerning attachment relationships and ER skills as well. Family interventions are often also useful since they address the processes that define these stages of development.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, the role of the family of origin has been examined concerning attachment relationships and emotion regulation (ER). From the literature on family environment, parenting, and emotional climate, the present study has demonstrated how various factors combine to influence the psychological development of individuals throughout childhood and adolescence into adulthood.

The study emphasizes that attachments formed within healthy family systems, such as nuclear families combined with authoritative parenting, foster effective ER and mental health. Conversely, insecure attachments often characterize single-parent families, inconsistent caregiving, or negative family environments, leading to maladaptive ER strategies and increased psychological distress.

Recommendations derived from this study stress the importance of promoting positive family interactions to support secure attachments and healthy ER skills. For instance, a key target for improvement would be strengthening family communication, enhancing parental support, and addressing early developmental deficiencies that negatively impact children's emotional well-being. These findings hold significant implications for practitioners and policymakers involved in mental health, as they underscore the potential advantages of adopting family-centered approaches to enhance psychological well-being.

Thus, the significance of this paper lies in the insights it provides regarding the relationships among family dynamics, attachment, and ER. Understanding the role of the family of origin in these core processes is critical for developing effective interventions that foster emotional and social well-being throughout a person's life. Further research is necessary to build on these findings, particularly considering cultural, socioeconomic, and individual factors that may influence the development of these relationships.

References

[1] *DeCore, A. K., & Focht, K. (2019). Family of Origin. Encyclopedia of Couple and Family Therapy, 1087–1090. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49425-8_349*

[2] *Bowlby, J. (1988). A secure base: Clinical applications of attachment theory. London: Routledge.*

[3] *Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. N. (1978). Patterns of Attachment: A Psychology Study of the Strange Situation. 466. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203758045*

[4] *Matti, S., Itagi, S., & Matti, B. (2022). Relationship between mental health academic achievement and educational aspiration of high school children from single parent families. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PLANT SCIENCES. https://doi.org/10.15740/has/ijps/17.1/37-42.*

[5] *Jarvis, J., Gibby, A., Dufur, M., & Pribesh, S. (2020). Family Structure and Child Well-Being in a Non-western Context: The Role of Parent–Child Relations and Parental Conflict in South Korea. Population Research and Policy Review, 39, 439 - 464. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11113-020-09586-8*

[6] *Eftekhari, A., Bakhtiari, M., & Kianimoghadam, A. (2023). The Relationship between the Attachment Styles of Children, Parenting Styles, and the Socio-Economic Status of parents. Journal of Community Health Research. https://doi.org/10.18502/jchr.v11i4.11640.*

[7] *Tadros, E., & Gregorash, A. (2022). Couple Connectedness in Corrections: Attachment, Childhood Parental Stability, and Dyadic Adjustment in Romantic Relationships With an Incarcerated Partner. Crime & Delinquency. https://doi.org/10.1177/00111287221134048.*

[8] *Payne, K., & Wiborg, C. (2021). Children's Family Structure, 2021. https://doi.org/10.25035/ncfmr/fp-21-26.*

[9] *Espeleta, H., Brett, E., Ridings, L., Leavens, E., & Mullins, L., 2018. Childhood adversity and adult health-risk behaviors: Examining the roles of emotion dysregulation and urgency. Child abuse & neglect, 82, pp. 92-101 . https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2018.05.027.*